1	BEFORE THE TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION		
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
3	In the Matter of the Teaching License of		
4	MICHAEL R. GORDON STIPULATION, ORDER OF SUSPENSION AND PROBATION		
5			
6	On January 31, 2001, the Teacher Standards and Practices Commission (Commission)		
7	issued a Notice of Opportunity for Hearing to Michael R. Gordon. Mr. Gordon requested a		
8	hearing through his attorney, Henry Kaplan. Mr. Gordon and the Commission have now		
9	reviewed the issues in this case and have determined that their respective interests, together with		
10	the public interest, are best served by a stipulation to certain facts, a suspension of Mr. Gordon's		
11	teaching license and an extension of the period of probation. In entering this stipulation, Mr.		
12	Gordon understands that he is waiving his right to a hearing, and that the Commission will		
13	impose the sanctions set forth in this order.		
14	This stipulation and order is contingent upon approval and adoption by the Commission.		
15	If the Commission does not adopt the stipulation and order, neither Mr. Gordon nor the		
16	Commission shall be bound by any statement of facts or other matters contained herein, and Mr.		
17	Gordon shall retain all rights to a hearing on the allegations set forth in the Notice of Opportunity		
18	for Hearing.		
19			
20	STIPULATED FACTS		
21	Mr. Gordon and the Commission stipulate as follows:		
22			
23			

1	1.	Mr. Gordon holds a Standard Teaching License with an endorsement in social
2		studies. He has been employed since 1981 by the Prospect School District as a
3		social studies teacher and a coach.
4	2.	The Commission previously disciplined Mr. Gordon under a stipulated order
5		dated July 17, 1998. At that time, Mr. Gordon was issued a public reprimand and
6		placed on probation for a period of three years based on stipulated facts that he
7		had engaged in a history of inappropriate physical contacts with students and
8		inappropriate verbal comments to students. As a condition of probation, Mr.
9		Gordon was required to attend a course or workshop on the subject of sexual
10		harassment.
11	3.	During the 2000-2001 school year, Mr. Gordon served as basketball coach for the
12		Prospect High School boys' basketball team and as a social studies teacher at the
13		high school. MM was a male student in Mr. Gordon's Citizenship class.
14	4.	Some students at the school had teased MM by suggesting that he was a
15		homosexual, but Mr. Gordon states that he was unaware of this teasing.
16	5.	During the fall of 2000, MM asked Mr. Gordon many times when practices would
17		be beginning for the boys' basketball team. In several instances, Mr. Gordon
18		answered MM by stating that he did not coach girls' basketball. Mr. Gordon's
19		comments suggested that MM should be playing on the girls' team rather than the
20		boys' team.
21	6.	MM had been friends with another male student in Mr. Gordon's Citizenship
22		class. Some students had teased MM by suggesting he had a romantic
23		relationship with this student, but Mr. Gordon states that he was not aware of that

1	teasing. One day in October 2000, the student was absent from class. In the
2	presence of other students in the class, Mr. Gordon asked MM if he knew where
3	the other student was. MM replied that he didn't know. Mr. Gordon said to MM,
4	"What happened, did you and [the student] have a fight?" Mr. Gordon's
5	statement caused embarrassment and humiliation to MM.
6	7. During the 2000-1 school year, Mr. Gordon spent considerable time working with
7	MM. As a general matter, Mr. Gordon and MM enjoyed a positive and
8	constructive teacher-student relationship. There is no evidence that Mr. Gordon
9	intended his remarks to MM to be hurtful or embarrassing.
10	
11	Mapael R. Gudon 7-25-01
12	Michael R. Gordon Date
13	Daine Muyhan 7/19/01
14	David V. Myton Date
15	
16	CONCLUSION OF LAW
17	The above-described conduct of Michael R. Gordon constitutes verbal conduct which had
18	the effect of unreasonably interfering with the educational performance of a student and creating
19	an intimidating, hostile or offensive educational environment in violation of OAR 584-020-
20	0040(4)(f).
21	
22	
22	

DISCUSSION

2	The Commission views Mr. Gordon's remarks as serious, especially in light of the fact	
3	that Mr. Gordon has been previously disciplined by the Commission for sexually inappropriate	
4	conduct. An additional aggravating factor is that Mr. Gordon was still on probation at the time	
5	of these events, and he had made these comments after he had already attended a class on sexual	
6	harassment as a condition of his probation. On the other hand, the Commission recognizes that	
7	Mr. Gordon has expressed remorse for his statements and that he has put in extra time and effort	
8	on behalf of this student and other students. Considering all these circumstances, the	
9	Commission concludes that Mr. Gordon's violation of standards was more the result of poor	
10	judgment than a deliberate attempt to humiliate or embarrass this student. Accordingly, the	
11	Commission chooses to suspend Mr. Gordon's license for a brief period, to extend his probation	
12	and to require him to undergo more intensive training in the area of sexual harassment.	
13	ORDER	
14	The Commission suspends the license of Michael R. Gordon for a period of 30 days	
15	commencing on the date this stipulation is signed by Mr. Gordon. Upon reinstatement, Mr.	
16	Gordon shall be placed on probation for a period of three years from the date of this order,	
17	subject to the following conditions:	
18	1. Within six months of the date of this order, Mr. Gordon, at his own expense, shall	
19	attend an intensive education program regarding sexual harassment and	
20	appropriate boundaries with students. The training shall be conducted by a	
21	qualified trainer who is approved in advance by the Commission. The training	
22	shall consist of a minimum of 7.5 hours and shall include at least three sessions.	
23	Mr. Gordon shall provide the trainer with a copy of this order and a copy of the	

1	Commission's previous order dated July 17, 1998. Mr. Gordon shall also direct
2	the trainer to provide to the Commission a report of Mr. Gordon's successful
3	program completion.
4	2. Mr. Gordon shall comply with all standards for competent and ethical
5	performance of educators under OAR 584 Division 020.
6	Violation of any of the terms of this probation may constitute an independent basis for
7	the Commission to impose discipline, up to and including revocation of Mr. Gordon's teaching
8	license, subject to Mr. Gordon's right to a hearing on the issue of whether he violated probation
9	DATED this day of August, 2001
10	
11	TEACHERS STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION
12	
13	David Myh
14	By:
15	David V. Myton, Executive Director
16	
17	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
18	1/ 1 5/ /
19	Almy J. Day an
20	Henry Kapian of Attorneys for Michael R. Gordon
21	
22	

23